Doc 22961-23 Filed 08/25/09 Page 1 of 6

 $Case\ 01-01139-AMC\ Doc\ 22961$  for substantially all U.S. inventories, last-in/first-out. Market values for raw materials are based on current cost and, for other costs and the cost an inventory classifications, net realizable value. Inventories are evaluated regularly for salability, and slow moving and/or obsolete items are adjusted to expected salable value. Inventory values include direct and certain indirect costs of materials and production. Abnormal costs of production are expensed as incurred.

Properties and Equipment - Properties and equipment are stated at cost Depreciation of properties and equipment is generally computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset. Estimated useful lives range from 20 to 40 years for buildings, 3 to 7 years for information technology equipment, 3 to 10 years for machinery and equipment and 5 to 10 years for furniture and fixtures. Interest is capitalized in connection with major project expenditures. Fully depreciated assets are retained in properties and equipment and related accumulated depreciation accounts until they are removed from service. In the case of disposals, assets and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the net amount, less any proceeds from disposal, is charged or credited to operations. Obligations for costs associated with asset retirements, such as requirements to restore a site to its original condition, are accrued at net present value and amortized along with the related asset. Grace reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be fully recoverable.

Goodwill - Goodwill arises from certain purchase business combinations. Grace reviews its goodwill for impairment on an annual basis and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be fully recoverable. Recoverability is assessed at the reporting unit level most directly associated with the business combination that generated the goodwill.

Income Taxes - Grace recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities with respect to the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statements and tax returns. If it is more likely than not that all or a portion of deferred tax assets will not be realized, a valuation allowance is provided against such deferred tax assets. The assessment of realization of deferred tax assets is performed annually under scenarios of future taxable income and tax planning alternatives that are considered reasonable in the circumstances.

Foreign Currency Translation - Assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries (other than those located in countries with highly inflationary economies) are translated into U.S. dollars at current exchange rates, while revenues, costs and expenses are translated at average exchange rates during each reporting period. The resulting translation adjustments are included in the "accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)" section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The financial statements of subsidiaries located in countries with highly inflationary economies, if any, are remeasured as if the functional currency were the U.S. dollar; the remeasurement creates translation adjustments that are reflected in "net income (loss)" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Financial Instruments - Grace periodically enters into commodity forward and option contracts, interest rate swap agreements and foreign exchange forward and option contracts to manage exposure to fluctuations in commodity prices and interest and foreign currency exchange rates. Grace does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

Effect of New Accounting Standards - In March 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FIN 47, "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143," to provide clarification that the term conditional asset retirement obligation, refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. FIN 47 clarifies that an entity is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset retirement obligation when incurred, if the liability's fair value can be reasonably estimated. The provisions of FIN 47 were adopted in 2005 and did not have a material impact on Grace's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment," to require companies to measure and recognize in operations the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value. The provisions of this standard are effective for Grace in 2006. Grace has not granted equity options or rights while in Chapter 11.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs - an Amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4," to provide clarification that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material be recognized as current period costs. In addition, this standard requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of inventory be based on the normal

F-11

capacity of the production facilities. The provisions of this standard are effective for Grace in 2006 and are not expected to have a material impact on Grace's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Stock Incentive Plans - SFAS No. 123 permits the Company to follow the measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and not recognize compensation expense for its stock-based incentive plans. Had compensation cost for the Company's stock-based incentive compensation plans been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates of awards under those plans, consistent with the fair value methodology prescribed by SFAS No. 123, the Company's net income (loss) and related earnings (loss) per share for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003 would have been reduced to the pro forma amounts indicated below:

Pro Forms Earnings Under SFAS No. 123		Year	En	ded December	31,
(In millions, except per share amounts)		2005		2004	2003
Not income (loss), as reported	s	67.3	s	(402.3) \$	(55,2)
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation, net of tax effects				(0.1)	(1,4)
Pro forma net income (loss) (1)	s	67.3	5	(402.4) \$	(56 6)
Basic carnings (loss) per share:					
As reported	\$	1.01	5	(6.11) \$	(0.84)
Pro forma net Income (loss) (1)		1.01		(6.12)	(0.86)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share:					
As reported	S	1.00	s	(6.11) \$	(0.84)
Pro forma net income (loss) (1)		1.00		(6.12)	(0 86)

<sup>(1)</sup> These proforms amounts may not be inducative of future income (loss) and earnings (loss) per share due to Grace's Chapter 11 Filing.

To determine compensation cost under SFAS No. 123, the fair value of each option is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. No compensation cost was recognized for the Company's stock-based incentive compensation plans in pro forma net income for the year ended December 31, 2005 due to the fact that all prior grants had vested and were fully expensed in prior periods. There were no option grants in the years ended 2005, 2004, and 2003.

Chapter 11 Related Information

Plan of Reorganization - On November 13, 2004 Grace filed a plan of reorganization, as well as several associated documents, including a disclosure statement, with the Bankruptoy Court. On January 13, 2005, Grace filed an amended plan of reorganization (the "Plan") and related documents to address certain objections of creditors and other interested parties. The Plan is supported by committees representing general unsecured creditors and equity holders, but is not supported by committees representing asbestos personal injury claimants and asbestos property damage claimants.

Under the terms of the Plan, a trust would be established under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code to which all pending and future asbestos-related claims would be channeled for resolution. Grace has requested that the Bankruptcy Court conduct an estimation hearing to, among other things, determine the amount that would need to be paid into the trust on the effective date of the Plan to satisfy the estimated liability for all classes of asbestos claimants and trust administration costs and expenses over time. The Plan provides that Grace's asbestos-related liabilities would be satisfied using cash and securities from Grace and third parties.

The Plan will become effective only after a vote of eligible creditors and with the approval of the Bankruptcy Court and the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. Votes on the Plan may not be solicited until the Bankruptcy Court approves the disclosure statement. The Bankruptcy Court has indicated that it will not consider the approval of the disclosure statement until after completion of estimation hearings on the amount of Grace's asbestos-related liability. The Debtors have received extensions of their exclusive right to propose a plan of reorganization through April 17, 2006.

Under the terms of the Plan, claims would be satisfied under the Chapter 11 cases as follows:

#### Asbestos-Related Claims and Costs

A trust would be established under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code to which all pending and future asbestos-related claims would be channeled for resolution. The trust would utilize specified trust distribution procedures to satisfy the following allowed asbestos-related claims and costs:

- Personal injury claims that meet specified exposure and medical criteria (Personal Injury-Symptomatic Eligible or "PI-SE"
  Claims) in order to qualify for this class, claimants would have to prove that their health is impaired from meaningful
  exposure to asbestos-containing products formerly manufactured by Grace.
- Personal injury claims that do not meet the exposure and medical criteria necessary to qualify as PI-SE Claims (Personal Injury-Asymptomatic and Other or "PI-AO" Claims) This class would contain all asbestos-related personal injury claims against Grace that do not meet the specific requirements to be PI-SE Claims, but do meet certain other specified exposure and medical criteria.
- Property damage claims, including claims related to ZAI ("PD Claims") In order to qualify for this class, claimants
  would have to prove Grace liability for loss of property value or remediation costs related to products formerly manufactured
  by Grace that claimants allege contained asbestos.

F-12

### 4. Trust administration costs and legal expenses

The pending asbestos-related legal proceedings are described in "Asbestos-Related Litigation" (see Note 3). The claims arising from such proceedings would be subject to this classification process as part of the Plan.

The Bankruptcy Court has entered case management orders for estimating liability for personal injury claims and property damage claims (excluding ZAI claims). The case management orders originally contemplated that estimation hearings would take place in September 2006. However, in connection with the latest extensions of the Debtors' exclusive right to propose a plan of reorganization, the Bankruptcy Court has deferred the estimation process to provide the Debtors and the other stakeholders in the Chapter 11 proceeding with an opportunity to negotiate a resolution of all or a portion of the Debtors' asbestos-related liabilities. The Bankruptcy Court has appointed a mediator to facilitate such negotiations. As a result of this deferral, if negotiations are not successful and the Bankruptcy Court resumes the estimation process, the Debtors would not expect estimation hearings to take place until late 2006 or early 2007.

The Bankruptcy Court is expected to use the estimated liability to determine the amounts to be paid into the trust on the effective date of the Plan. The amounts to fund PI-SE Claims, PD Claims and the expense of trust administration would be capped at the amount determined by the Bankruptcy Court. Amounts required to fund PI-AO Claims would not be capped, so if the amount funded in respect thereof later proved to be inadequate, Grace would be responsible for contributing additional funds into the asbestos trust to satisfy PI-AO Claims.

Asbestos personal injury claimants, including both PI-SE and PI-AO claims, would have the option either to litigate their claims against the trust in federal court in Delaware or, if they meet specified eligibility criteria, accept a settlement amount based on the severity of their condition. Asbestos property damage claimants would be required to litigate their claims against the trust in federal court in Delaware. The Plan provides that, as a condition precedent to confirmation, the maximum estimated aggregate funding amount for all asbestos-related liabilities (PI-SE, PI-AO and PD including ZAI) and trust administration costs and expenses as determined by the Bankruptcy Court cannot exceed \$1,613 million, which Grace believes would fund over \$2 billion in claims, costs and expenses over time.

The PI-SE Claims, the PD Claims and the related trust administration costs and expenses would be funded with (1) a payment of \$512.5 million in cash (plus interest at 5.5% compounded annually from December 21, 2002) and nine million shares of common stock of Sealed Air Corporation ("Sealed Air") to be made directly by Cryovac, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Sealed Air, ("Cryovac") to the asbestos trust pursuant to the terms of a settlement agreement resolving asbestos-related, successor liability and fraudulent transfer claims against Sealed Air and Cryovac and (2) Grace common stock. The amount of Grace common stock required to satisfy these claims will depend on the liability measures approved by the Bankruptcy Court and the value of the Sealed Air settlement, which changes daily with the accrual of interest and the trading value of Sealed Air common stock. The Sealed Air settlement agreement has been approved by the Bankruptcy Court, but remains subject to the fulfillment of specified conditions.

The PI-AO Claims would be funded with warrants exercisable for that number of shares of Grace common stock which, when added to the shares issued directly to the trust on the effective date of the Plan, would represent 50.1% of Grace's voting securities. If the common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants is insufficient to pay all PI-AO Claims (the liability for which is uncapped under the Plan), then Grace would pay any additional liabilities in cash.

# Other Claims

The Plan provides that all allowed administrative or priority claims would be paid 100% in cash and all general unsecured claims, other than those covered by the asbestos trust, would be paid 85% in cash and 15% in Grace common stock. Grace estimates that claims with a recorded value of approximately \$1,154 million, including interest accrued through December \$1,2005, would be satisfied in this manner at the effective date of the Plan. Grace would finance these payments with cash on hand, cash from Fresenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc. ("Fresenius") paid in settlement of asbestos and other Grace-related claims, new Grace debt, and Grace common stock. Grace would satisfy other non-asbestos related liabilities and claims (primarily certain environmental, tax, pension and retirement medical obligations) as they become due and payable over time using cash flow from operations, insurance proceeds from policies and settlement agreements covering asbestos-related liabilities, and new credit facilities. Proceeds from available product liability insurance applicable to asbestos-related claims would supplement operating cash flow to service new debt and liabilities not paid on the effective date of the Plan.

## Effect on Grace Common Stock

The Plan provides that Grace common stock will remain outstanding at the effective date of the Plan, but that the interests of existing

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 22961-23 shareholders would be subject to dilution by additional shares of common stock issued under the Plan. In addition, in order to preserve significant tax benefits from net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs"), which are subject to elimination or limitation in the event of a change in control (as defined by the Internal Revenue Code) of Grace, the Plan places restrictions on the purchase of Grace common stock. The restrictions would prohibit (without the consent of Grace), for a period of three years, a person or entity from acquiring more than 4.75% of the outstanding Grace common stock or, for those persons already holding more than 4.75%, prohibit them from increasing their holdings. The Bankruptcy Court has also approved the trading restrictions described above until the effective date of

F-13

Grace intends to address all pending and future asbestos-related claims and all other pre-petition claims as outlined in the Plan. However, Grace may not be successful in obtaining approval of the Plan by the Bankruptcy Court and other interested parties. For example, the asbestos creditors committees and future asbestos claimants representative have challenged the confirmability of the Plan, arguing that the Plan impairs the rights of asbestos creditors and impermissibly denies them voting rights, and have asserted that Grace's asbestos-related liabilities exceed the fair value of Grace's assets. As a result of these challenges and other Bankruptcy Court rulings, a materially different plan of reorganization may ultimately be approved and, under the ultimate plan of reorganization interests of the Company's shareholders could be substantially diluted or cancelled. The value of Grace common stock following a plan of reorganization, and the extent of any recovery by non-asbestos-related creditors, will depend principally on the allowed value of Grace's asbestos-related claims as determined by the Bankruptcy Court.

Official Parties to Grace's Chapter 11 Proceedings - Three creditors' committees, two representing asbestos claimants and the third representing other unsecured creditors, and a committee representing shareholders, have been appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases. These committees, and a legal representative of future asbestos claimants, have the right to be heard on all matters that come before the Bankruptcy Court and are likely to play important roles in the Chapter 11 Cases. The Debtors are required to bear certain costs and expenses of the committees and of the future asbestos claimants' representative, including those of their counsel and financial advisors.

Claims Filings - The Bankruptcy Court established a bar date of March 31, 2003 for claims of general unsecured creditors, asbestos-related property damage claims and medical monitoring claims related to asbestos. The bar date did not apply to asbestosrelated personal injury claims or claims related to ZAI, which will be dealt with separately.

Approximately 14,900 proofs of claim were filed by the bar date. Of these claims, approximately 9,400 were non-asbestos related, approximately 4,300 were for asbestos-related property damage, and approximately 1,000 were for medical monitoring. The medical monitoring claims were made by individuals who allege exposure to asbestos through Grace's products or operations. These claims, if sustained, would require Grace to fund ongoing health monitoring costs for qualified claimants. In addition, approximately 765 proofs of claim were filed after the bar date.

Approximately 7,000 of the non-asbestos related claims involve claims by employees or former employees for future retirement benefits such as pension and retiree medical coverage. Grace views most of these claims as contingent and has proposed a plan of reorganization that would retain such benefits. The other non-asbestos related claims include claims for payment of goods and services, taxes, product warranties, principal and interest under pre-petition credit facilities, amounts due under leases and other contracts, leases and other executory contracts rejected in the Bankruptcy Court, environmental remediation, indemnification or contribution to actual or potential co-defendants in asbestos-related and other litigation, pending non-asbestos-related litigation, and non-asbestos-related personal injury.

The Debtors have analyzed the claims as filed and have found that many are duplicates, represent the same claim filed against more than one of the Debtors, lack any supporting documentation, or provide insufficient supporting documentation. As of December 31, 2005, the Debtors had filed objections to approximately 5,400 claims (approximately 100 of which were subsequently withdrawn), approximately 3,950 of which were asbestos property damage claims. Of the 5,300 claims, approximately 2,100 have been expunged, approximately 200 have been resolved, approximately 1,800 have been withdrawn by claimants, and the remaining approximately 1,200 will be addressed through the claims objection process and the dispute resolution procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

Grace believes that its recorded liabilities for claims subject to the bar date represent a reasonable estimate of the ultimate allowable amount for claims that are not in dispute or have been submitted with sufficient information to both evaluate the merit and estimate the value of the claim. The asbestos-related claims are considered as part of Grace's overall asbestos liability and are being accounted for in accordance with the conditions precedent under the Plan, as described in "Accounting Impact" below. As claims are resolved, or where better information becomes available and is evaluated, Grace will make adjustments to the liabilities recorded on its financial statements as appropriate. Any such adjustments could be material to its consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Litigation Proceedings in Bankruptcy Court - In September 2000, Grace was named in a purported class action lawsuit filed in California Superior Court for the County of San Francisco, alleging that the 1996 reorganization involving a predecessor of Grace and Fresenius AG and the 1998 reorganization involving a predecessor of Grace and Sealed Air were fraudulent transfers. The Bankruptcy Court authorized the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants and the Official Committee of Asbestos Property Damage Claimants to proceed with claims against Fresenius and Sealed Air and Cryovac on behalf of the Debtors' bankruptcy estate.

On November 29, 2002, Sealed Air (and Cryovac) and Fresenius each announced that they had reached agreements in principle with such Committees to settle asbestos, successor liability and fraudulent transfer claims related to such transactions (the "litigation settlement agreements"). Under the terms of the Fresenius settlement, subject to the fulfillment of certain conditions, Fresenius

F-14

would contribute \$115.0 million to the Debtors' estate as directed by the Bankruptcy Court upon confirmation of the Debtors' plan of reorganization. In July 2003, the Fresenius settlement was approved by the Bankruptcy Court. Under the terms of the Sealed Air settlement, subject to the fulfillment of certain conditions, Cryovac would make a payment of \$512.5 million (plus interest at 5.5% compounded annually, commencing on December 21, 2002) and nine million shares of Sealed Air common stock (collectively valued at \$1,108.3 million as of December 31, 2005), as directed by the Bankruptcy Court upon confirmation of the Debtors' plan of reorganization. In June 2005, the Sealed Air settlement was approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

Debt Capital - All of the Debtors' pre-petition debt is in default due to the Filing. The accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets reflect the classification of the Debtors' pre-petition debt within ''liabilities subject to compromise.''

The Debtors have entered into a debtor-in-possession post-petition loan and security agreement with Bank of America, N.A. (the "DIP facility") in the aggregate amount of \$250 million. The term of the DIP facility expires on April 1, 2006. Grace expects to renew the DIP facility at this current level and under similar terms.

Accounting Impact – The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with Statement of Position 90-7 ("SOP 90-7"), "Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization Under the Bankruptcy Code," promulgated by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. SOP 90-7 requires that financial statements of debtors-in-possession be prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates continuity of operations, realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the ordinary course of business. However, as a result of the Filing, the realization of certain of the Debtors' assets and the liquidation of certain of the Debtors' liabilities are subject to significant uncertainty. While operating as debtors-in-possession, the Debtors may sell or otherwise dispose of assets and liquidate or settle liabilities for amounts other than those reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements. Further, the ultimate plan of reorganization could materially change the amounts and classifications reported in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Pursuant to SOP 90-7, Grace's pre-petition liabilities that are subject to compromise are required to be reported separately on the balance sheet at an estimate of the amount that will ultimately be allowed by the Bankruptcy Court. As of December 31, 2005, such pre-petition liabilities include fixed obligations (such as debt and contractual commitments), as well as estimates of costs related to contingent liabilities (such as asbestos-related litigation, environmental remediation, and other claims). Obligations of Grace subsidiaries not covered by the Filing continue to be classified on the Consolidated Balance Sheets based upon maturity dates or the expected dates of payment. SOP 90-7 also requires separate reporting of certain expenses, realized gains and losses, and provisions for losses related to the Filing as reorganization items.

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 22961-23
Grace has not recorded the benefit of any assets that may be available to fund asbestos-related and other liabilities under the litigation settlements with Sealed Air and Fresenius, as such agreements are subject to conditions which, although expected to be met, have not been satisfied and confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court. The value available under these litigation settlement agreements as measured at December 31, 2005, was \$1,223.3 million comprised of \$115.0 million in cash from Fresenius and \$1,108.3 million in cash and stock from Cryovac. Payments under the Sealed Air settlement will be made directly to the asbestos trust by Cryovac, and will be accounted for as a satisfaction of a portion of Grace's recorded asbestos-related liability and a credit to shareholder's equity.

Grace's Consolidated Balance Sheets separately identify the liabilities that are "subject to compromise" as a result of the Chapter 11 proceedings. In Grace's case, "liabilities subject to compromise" represent pre-petition liabilities as determined under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Changes to the recorded amount of such liabilities will be based on developments in the Chapter 11 Cases and management's assessment of the claim amounts that will ultimately be allowed by the Bankruptcy Court. Changes to prepetition liabilities subsequent to the Filing Date reflect: 1) cash payments under approved court orders; 2) the terms of Grace's proposed plan of reorganization, as discussed above, including the accrual of interest on pre-petition debt and other fixed obligations; 3) accruals for employee-related programs; and 4) changes in estimates related to other pre-petition contingent liabilities.

Components of liabilities subject to compromise are as follows:

(In millions)	Dec	ember 31, 2005	De	cember 31, 2004		ling Date
Debt, pre-petition plus accrued interest	s	684.7	\$	645.8	5	5) 1.5
Ashestos-related liability		1,700.0		1,700.0		1,002.8
Income taxes		134.5		210.4		240.1
Environmental remediation		342,0		345 0		164.8
Postretirement benefits other than pension		101,3		118.9		185,4
Unfunded special pension arrangements		86.4		77.4		70.8
Relained obligations of divested businesses		20.1		25,1		45.5
Accounts payable		31.5		31.3		43.0
Other accrued liabilities		54.6		53,8		102,1
Total Liabilities Subject to Compromise	S	3,155.1	5	3,207.7	s	2,366.0

Note that the unfunded special pension arrangements reflected above exclude non-U.S. plans and qualified U.S. plans that became underfunded subsequent to the Filing. Contributions to qualified U.S. plans are subject to Bankruptoy Court approval.

#### Change in Liabilities Subject to Compromise

Set forth below is a reconciliation of the changes in pre-filing date liability balances for the period from the Filing Date through December 31, 2005.

(In nullions)(Unaudited)		ative Since iling
Balance, Filing Date April 2, 2001  Cash disbursements and/or reclassifications under  Bankruptey Court orders:	s	2,366.0
Proight and distribution order		(5.7)
Trade accounts payable order		(9.1)
Settlements of noncare contingencies		(119.7)
Other court orders including employee wages and benefits, sales and use tax, and customer programs		(296.9)
Expense/(income) items:		
Interest on pre-petition liabilities		203,6
Employee-related accruals		34.2
Change in estimate of asbestos-related contingencies		744.8
Change in estimate of environmental contingencies		265.6
Change in estimate of income tax contingencies		(2.0)
Balance sheet reclassifications		(25.7)
Balance, end of period	S	3,155.1

F-16

Additional liabilities subject to compromise may arise due to the rejection of executory contracts or unexpired leases, or as a result of the Bankruptcy Court's allowance of contingent or disputed claims.

## Chapter 11 expenses

(In millions)		2005		2004		2003
Legal and financial advisory fees	S	38.4	s	20.3	\$	15.4
Interest income		(7.5)		(2.3)		(0.6)
Chapter 11 expenses, net	\$	30.9	S	18.0	S	14.8

Pursuant to SOP 90-7, interest income earned on the Debtors' cash balances must be offset against Chapter 11 expenses.

# Condensed financial information of the Debtors

W. R. Grace & Co Chapter 11 Filing Entities Debtor-in-Possession Statements of Operation	Year Ended December 31,						
(In millions) (Unamilted)	2005	2004	2003				
Net sales, including intercompany	S 1,267.2 S	1,165.4 \$	1,031.9				
Cost of goods sold, including intercompany, exclusive of depreciation and amonization shown separately below	896.0	768.7	714.8				
Selling, general and administrative expenses, exclusive of net pension expense shown separately below	280.5	262,6	218.2				
Research and development expenses	38.4	34 6	38.0				
Depreciation and amortization	60.8	57.4	61.1				
Net pension expense	51.9	45.9	45.0				
Interest expense and related financing costs	54.7	110.7	15,:				
Other (income) expense	(107.3)	(74.0)	(66,				
Provision for environmental remediation	25.0	21.6	142,				
Provision for aspestos-related litigation, net of insurance		476 G	30 (				
	1,300.0	1,704 1	1,199.				
Income (lost) before Chapter 11 expenses, income taxes, and equity in net income of non-filing entities	(32.8)	(538.7)	(167.				
Chapter 11 expenses, net	(30.7)	(18.0)	(14.				
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes	15.0	44 8	45.				
Income (loss) before equity in net income of non-filing entitles	(48,5)	(511.9)	(136:				
Equity in net meome of non-filing entities	115.8	109.6	81.:				
Net incume (loss)	S 67.3 S	(402.3) \$	(55.				

W. R. Grace & Co Chapter 11 Filing Entitles Debtor-in-Possession Condensed Statements of		Year Ended December 31,							
Cash Flows (In millions) (Unandited)		2005	2004	2003					
Operating Activities									
Income (loss) before Chapter 11 expenses, income taxes, and equity in net income of non-filing entities	s	(32.8) \$	(538.7) \$	(167.					
Reconciliation to not cash provided by (used for) operating activities:									
Non-cash items, net		131.4	663.2	237.					
Contributions to defined benefit pension plans		(35,0)	(24,2)	(52					
Cash paid to settle noncore contingencies		(119.7)	_	-					
Changes in other assets and liabilities, excluding the effect of businesses acquired/divested		(66.3)	87.0	(15					
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities		(122.4)	187.3	2					
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities		54.4	38 3	68					
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities		(2.8)	(61)	(7.					
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(70.8)	219.5	63					
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		340.0	120.5	56					
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	5	269.2 S	340 0 \$	120					

F-18

W. R. Grace & Co Chapter 11 Filing Entities Debtor-in-Possession Balance Sheets	December 31,					
W. R. Grace & Co Chapter 11 Filing Entitles Dentor-in-Possession Bulance Sneets In millions) (Unaudited)		2004				
ASSETS						
Current Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	s	269.2 \$	340.			
Frade accounts receivable, net		108.0	111.			
Receivables from non-filing entities, net		62.3	37.			
Inventories		86.8	76.			
Other current assets		53.5	38.			
Total Current Assets		579.8	604			
Properties and equipment, not		342.9	359			
Cash value of life insurance policies, net of policy loans		84.8	96			
Deferred income taxes		701.0	666			
Asbestos-related insurance		500.0	500			
Loans receivable from non-filing entities, not		306.9	358			
investment in non-filing entities		527.9	468			
Other assels		115.3	101			
Total Assets	<u>s</u>	3,158.6 \$	3,155			
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)						
Liabilities Nat Subject to Compromise						
Current liabilities	s	187.3 \$	174			
Minority interest in consolidated affiliates		32.6	13			
Other liabilities		378.9	381			
Total Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise		598.8	569			
Liabilities Subject to Compromise		3,155.1	3,207			
Total Liabilities		3,753.9	3,777			
Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)		(595.3)	(621			

In addition to Grace's financial reporting obligations as prescribed by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, the Debtors are also required, under the rules and regulations of the Bankruptcy Code, to periodically file certain statements and schedules and a monthly operating report with the Bankruptcy Court. This information is available to the public through the Bankruptcy Court. This information is prepared in a format that may not be comparable to information in Grace's quarterly and annual financial statements as filed with the SEC. The monthly operating reports are not audited, do not purport to represent the financial position or results of operations of Grace on a consolidated basis, and should not be relied on for such purposes.

#### 3. Asbestos-Related Litigation

Grace is a defendant in property damage and personal injury lawsuits relating to previously sold asbestos-containing products. As of the Filing Date, Grace was a defendant in 65,656 asbestos-related lawsuits, 17 involving claims for property damage (one of which has since been dismissed), and the remainder involving 129,191 claims for personal injury. Due to the Filing, holders of asbestosrelated claims are stayed from continuing to prosecute pending litigation and from commencing new lawsuits against the Debtors. Separate creditors' committees representing the interests of property damage and personal injury claimants, and a legal representative of future personal injury claimants, have been appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases. Grace's obligations with respect to present and future claims will be determined through the Chapter 11 process.

Property Damage Litigation - The plaintiffs in asbestos property damage lawsuits generally seek to have the defendants pay for the cost of removing, containing or repairing the asbestos-containing materials in the affected buildings. Each property damage case is unique in that the age, type, size and use of the building, and the difficulty of asbestos abatement, if necessary, vary from structure to structure. Information regarding product identification, the amount of product in the building, the age, type, size and use of the building, the legal status of the claimant, the jurisdictional history of prior cases and the court in which the case is pending has provided meaningful guidance as to the range of potential costs.

Out of 380 asbestos property damage cases (which involved thousands of buildings) filed prior to the Filing Date, 140 were dismissed without payment of any damages or settlement

F-19

amounts; judgments were entered in favor of Grace in nine cases (excluding cases settled following appeals of judgments in favor of Grace); judgments were entered in favor of the plaintiffs in eight cases (one of which is on appeal) for a total of \$86.1 million; 207 property damage cases were settled for a total of \$696.8 million; and 16 cases remain outstanding (including the one on appeal). Of the 16 remaining cases, eight relate to ZAI and eight relate to a number of former asbestos-containing products (two of which also are alleged to involve ZAI).

Approximately 4,300 additional property damage claims were filed prior to the March 31, 2003 claims bar date established by the Bankruptcy Court. (The bar date did not apply to ZAI claims.) Such claims were reviewed in detail by Grace, categorized into claims with sufficient information to be evaluated or claims that require additional information and, where sufficient information existed, the estimated cost of resolution was considered as part of Grace's recorded asbestos-related liability. (Approximately 200 claims did not contain sufficient information to permit an evaluation.) Grace has objected to virtually all property damage claims on a number of different bases, including: no authorization to file a claim; the claim was previously settled or adjudicated; no or insufficient documentation; failure to identify a Grace product; the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations and/or statute of repos and/or laches; and a defense that the product in place is not hazardous. As of February 21, 2006, following the reclassification, withdrawal or expungement of claims, approximately 990 property damage claims remain outstanding.

Eight of the ZAI cases were filed as purported class action lawsuits in 2000 and 2001. In addition, eight lawsuits were filed as purported class actions in 2004 and 2005 with respect to persons and homes in Canada. These cases seek damages and equitable relief, including the removal, replacement and/or disposal of all such insulation. The plaintiffs assert that this product is in millions of homes and that the cost of removal could be several thousand dollars per home. As a result of the Filing, the eight U.S. cases have been transferred to the Bankruptcy Court. Based on Grace's investigation of the claims described in these lawsuits, and testing and analysis of this product by Grace and others, Grace believes that the product was and continues to be safe for its intended purpose and poses little or no threat to human health. The plaintiffs in the ZAI lawsuits (and the U.S. government in the Montana criminal proceeding described in Note 14) dispute Grace's position on the safety of ZAI. In July 2002, the Bankruptcy Court approved special counsel to represent, at the Debtors' expense, the ZAI claimants in a proceeding to determine certain threshold scientific issues regarding ZAI. On October 18, 2004, the Bankruptcy Court held a hearing on motions filed by the parties to address a number of important legal and factual issues regarding the ZAI claims, and has taken the motions under advisement. The Bankruptcy Court has indicated that it may require further proceedings with respect to the matters addressed in the motions and no decision has yet been rendered. Given the early stage of litigation, Grace's recorded asbestos-related liability at December 31, 2005 assumes the risk of loss from ZAI litigation is not probable. If Grace's view as to the risk of loss were not sustained, management believes the cost to resolve the matter would be

Personal Injury Litigation - Asbestos personal injury claimants allege adverse health effects from exposure to asbestos-containing products formerly manufactured by Grace. Claims are generally similar to each other, differing primarily in the type of asbestos-related illness allegedly suffered by the plaintiff. Grace's cost to resolve such claims has been influenced by numerous variables, including the solvency of other former producers of asbestos containing products, cross-claims by co-defendants, the rate at which new claims are filed, the jurisdiction in which the claims are filed, and the defense and disposition costs associated with these claims.

Cumulatively through the Filing Date, 16,354 asbestos personal injury lawsuits involving approximately 35,720 claims were dismissed without payment of any damages or settlement amounts (primarily on the basis that Grace products were not involved) and approximately 55,489 lawsuits involving approximately 163,698 claims were disposed of (through settlements and judgments) for a total of \$645.6 million. As of the Filing Date, 129,191 claims for personal injury were pending against Grace. Grace believes that a substantial number of additional personal injury claims would have been received between the Filing Date and December 31, 2005 had such claims not been stayed by the Bankruptcy Court.

Ashestos-Related Liability - The total recorded ashestos-related liability balance as of December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 was \$1,700.0 million and is included in "liabilities subject to compromise." Grace adjusted its asbestos-related liability in the fourth quarter of 2004 based on its proposed plan of reorganization as discussed in Note 2. The amount recorded at December 31, 2005 includes the \$1,613 million maximum amount reflected as a condition precedent to the Plan and \$87 million related to pre-Chapter 11 contractual settlements and judgments included in general unsecured claims.

Under the Plan, Grace is requesting that the Bankruptcy Court determine the aggregate dollar amount, on a net present value basis, that must be funded on the effective date of the Plan into an asbestos trust (established under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code) to pay all allowed pending and future asbestos-related personal injury and property damage claims (including ZAI) and related trust administration costs and expenses on the later of the effective date of the Plan or when allowed (the "Funding Amount"). It is a condition to confirmation of the Plan that the Bankruptcy